# आयुक्त का कार्यालय



Office of the Commissioner केंद्रीय जीएसटी, अपील अहमदाबाद आयुक्तालय Central GST, Appeals Ahmedabad Commissionerate जीएसटी भवन, राजस्व मार्ग, अम्बावाड़ी, अहमदाबाद-380015 GST Bhavan, Revenue Marg, Ambawadi, Ahmedabad



Phone: 079-26305065 - Fax: 079-26305136 E-Mail: commrappl1-cexamd@nic.in

## By Speed Post

DIN NO.: 20230364SW000081140E

| DIN NO.: 202303045 W00000111012  |   |  |
|--|---|--|
| फ़ाइल संख्या / File No.  | GAPPL/ADC/GSTP/468/2023-APPEAL/9140-113   |  |
| अपील आदेश संख्या और दिनांक /<br>Order-In-Appeal No. and Date   | AHM-CGST-002-APP-ADC-164/2022-23 & 02.03.2023   |  |
| पारित किया गया /<br>Passed By  | श्री मिहिर रायका , अपर आयुक्त (अपील)<br>Shri Mihir Rayka, Additional Commissioner (Appeals)   |  |
| जारी करने की दिनांक /<br>Date of issue   | 03.03.2023  |  |
| Arising out of Order No. ZA2411210863420 dated 25.11.2021 issued by Superintendent, Range-IV, Division-II, Ahmedabad North Commissionerate |   |  |
| अपीलकर्ता का नाम और पता /<br>Name and Address of the<br>Appellant  | M/s Shivam Exports, Dev Nandan Park, D-202, Nr. Rajvini Bunglows, Nikol, Ahmedabad, Gujarat-382350  |  |
|  | फ़ाइल संख्या / File No. अपील आदेश संख्या और दिनांक / Order-In-Appeal No. and Date पारित किया गया / Passed By जारी करने की दिनांक / Date of issue Arising out of Order No. ZA24 Range-IV, Division-II, Ahmedal अपीलकर्ता का नाम और पता / Name and Address of the |  |

| (च)   | Name and Address of the<br>Appellant                               | Rajvini Bunglows, Nikol, Ahmedabad, Gujarat-382350   |
|-------|--|--|
|       |  | क रामस   |
|       | यक्ति निम्नलिखित तरीके में उपयुक्त प्राधिकारी / प्राधिकरण के समक्ष |  |
| (A)   | अपील दायर कर सकता है।<br>Any person aggrieved by this              | order-in-Appeal may file an appeal to the appropriate  |
|       | authority in the following way.                                    | m Thursel framed under GST Act/CGST Act  |
| (i)   | in the cases where one of the                                      | ench of Appellate Tribunal Hamed under Got Tri |
|       |  |  |
| (ii)  | State Bench or Area Bench of                                       | Appellate Tribunal framed under GST Act/CGST Act other (i) above in terms of Section 109(7) of CGST Act, 2017  |
|       | Appeal to the Appellate Tribu                                      | nal shall be filed as prescribed under Rule 110 of CGST and with a fee of Rs. One Thousand for every Rs. One   |
| (iii) | Rules, 2017 and shall be acco                                      | ompanied with a loc of the page in Tax or Input Tax Credit   |
|       | Lakh of Tax or input lax Ci  | e, fee or penalty determined in the order appealed against,  |
|       | subject to a maximum of Rs.  | wellty-live inousand Annellate Tribunal shall be filed along   |
| (B)   | Appeal under Section 112(1)  | of CGS1 Act, 2017 to Appoint to Appoint the Registrar,   |
|       | Appellate Tribunal in FORM C                                       | AST APL-05, on common portal as prescribed under Rule 110  |
|       | of CGST Rules, 2017, and sn  | iali be accompanied by the 15  |
|       | within seven days of filing FO                                     | RM GST APL-05 online.  The pellate Tribunal under Section 112(8) of the CGST Act, 2017   |
|       | after paying -   | x, Interest, Fine, Fee and Penalty arising from the impugned   |
|       | (i) <u>Full amount of Ta</u>                                       | ed/accepted by the appellant; and redirection fine per cent of the remaining amount of Tax in  |
| (i)   | (ii) (ii) A sum equal  | to twenty live per cent of the form 107(6) of CGST Act,  |
|       | dispute, in additi   | on to the amount paid under section for (6) or the said order, in relation to which the appeal has been  |
|       | filed.   | 1 of Difficulties) Order, 2019 dated   |
| (ii)  | The Central Goods & Servi  | at the appeal to tribunal can be made within three months  |
|       | (1)   03.12.2019 has provided the from the date of communic        | at the appeal to tribunal call be induce with at the Appellate Tribunal enters office, whichever is later.   |
|       | President, as the case may b                                       | ation of Order or date on which the Floodenesses, e, of the Appellate Tribunal enters office, whichever is later. e, of the Appellate Tribunal enters office, whichever is later. e, of the Appellate Tribunal enters office, whichever is later. e all a safety of the appellate Tribunal enters of the  |
|       | उच्च अपीलीय प्राधिकारी की अपा                                      | ल दाखिल करन स सवायर स्थापन है।   |
| (C    | 7)   | www.cbic.gov.in को देख सकते हैं। latest provisions relating to filing of appeal to the appellate   |
|       | For elaborate, detailed and  | refer to the website www.cbic.gov.in.  |
| Ĺ     | authority, and app   | refer to the website www.cbic.govia.   |

### ORDER-IN-APPEAL

-2-

#### Brief facts of the case:-

Jigneshkumar Bachubhai Dave (Trade Name: M/s Shivam Exports), Dev Nandan Park, D-202, Nr. Rajvini Bunglows, Nikol, Ahmedabad, Gujarat-382350 (hereinafter referred to as 'the Appellant') has filed the present appeal against Order No. ZA2411210863420 dated 25.11.2021 (hereinafter referred to as 'the impugned order'), for Cancellation of Registration issued by the Superintendent, Range-IV, Division-II, Ahmedabad North Commissionerate (hereinafter referred to as 'the adjudicating authority').

- 2. Briefly stated the fact of the case is that the appellant was registered under GSTIN 24AJFPD0113P1ZL. The appellant was issued Show Cause Notice dated 15.11.2021 for cancellation of their registration, on the grounds: "Any taxpayer other than composition taxpayer has not filed returns for a continuous period of six months." Subsequently, the adjudicating authority vide the impugned order dated 25.11.2021 ordered for cancellation of registration with effect from 24-01-2021 on the ground mentioned in the show cause notice as well due to not attended PH on schedule date and time.
- 3. Being aggrieved with the impugned order the appellant filed the present appeal for revocation of cancellation of their GST Registration Number, wherein he contended that
  - (i) The appellant is ready to pay their GST liability with interest and late fees;
  - (ii) Requested for revocation of cancellation of Registration;

#### PERSONAL HEARING:

4. Personal hearing in the case was held on 23.02.2023. Shri Hitesh Joshi, appeared in person on behalf of the 'Appellant' as authorized representative. He has submitted copy of order of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala having WP (C) no. 6662 of 2022 and copy of order of Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka having WP no. 10142 of 2021.

#### Discussion& findings:

5. I have gone through the facts of the case, the impugned order and the grounds of appeal as well as written submissions of the appellant. I find that the main issue to be decided in the instant case is (i) whether the appeal has been filed within the prescribed time limit; and (ii) whether the appeal filed against the order of cancellation of registration can be considered for revocation / restoration of cancelled registration

by the proper officer. I find that the impugned order was issued on 25.11.2021 by the adjudicating authority and the said order was also communicated to them on the same day i.e on 25.11.2021. It is further observed that the appellant has filed the present appeal on 20.01.2023 along with self certified copy of the impugned order.

6. I further find it relevant to go through the relevant statutory provisions of Section 107 of the CGST Act, 2017, which is reproduced as under:

SECTION 107. Appeals to Appellate Authority. — (1) Any person aggrieved by any decision or order passed under this Act or the State Goods and Services Tax Act or the Union Territory Goods and Services Tax Act by an adjudicating authority may appeal to such Appellate Authority as may be prescribed within three months from the date on which the said decision or order is communicated to such person.

| (2) |  |
|-----|--|
| (3) |  |

- (4) The Appellate Authority may, if he is satisfied that the appellant was prevented by sufficient cause from presenting the appeal within the aforesaid period of three months or six months, as the case may be, allow it to be presented within a further period of one month."
- 6.1 Accordingly, I observed that the Appellant was required to file appeal within 3 months from the receipt of the impugned order dated 25.11.2021. However, in the instant case the appellant has filed the present appeal on 20.01.2023 i.e. after a lapse of a period more than one year period from the due date. Further, I also find that in terms of provisions of Section 107(4) ibid, the appellate authority has powers to condone the delay of one month in filing of appeal over and above the prescribed period of three months as mentioned above, if sufficient cause is shown. Accordingly, I find that there is an inordinate delay of more than three (3) months in filing the appeal over and above the normal period of three months. Thus, I find that the present appeal has been filed beyond the time limit as prescribed under the Section 107(1) of the CGST Act, 2017 cannot be entertained.
- 6.2 I further find that the Hon'ble Supreme Court has passed order on 10.01.2022 in matter of Miscellaneous Application No. 21 of 2022 in MA 665/2021, in SMW(C) No. 3 of 2020. The relevant para No. 5 (I) & 5 (III) of said order is reproduced as under:
  - 5. Taking into consideration the arguments advanced by learned counsel and the impact of the surge of the virus on public health and adversities faced by litigants in the prevailing conditions, we deem it appropriate to

I. The order dated 23.03.2020 is restored and in continuation of the subsequent orders dated 08.03.2021, 27.04.2021 and 23.09.2021, it is directed that the period from 15.03.2020 till 28.02.2022 shall stand excluded for the purposes of limitation as may be prescribed under any general or special laws in respect of all judicial or quasi-judicial proceedings.

II. ....

- III In cases where the limitation would have expired during the period between 15.03.2020 till 28.02.2022, notwithstanding the actual balance period of limitation remaining, all persons shall have a limitation period of 90 days from 01.03.2022. In the event the actual balance period of limitation remaining, with effect from 01.03.2022 is greater than 90 days, that longer period shall apply.
- **6.3** Further, I also find that the CBIC, New Delhi has issued Circular No. 157/13/2021-GST dated 20th July, 2021 and clarified as under:-
  - 4(c) Appeals by taxpayers/ tax authorities against any quasi-judicial order:-

Wherever any appeal is required to filed before Joint/ Additional Commissioner (Appeals), Commissioner (Appeals), Appellate Authority for Advance Ruling, Tribunal and various courts against any quasi-judicial order or where a proceeding for revision or rectification of any order is required to be undertaken, the time line for the same would stand extended as per the Hon'ble Supreme Court's order.

- 5. In other words, the extension of timelines granted by Hon'ble Supreme Court vide its Order dated 27.04.2021 is applicable in respect of any appeal which is required to be filed before Joint/ Additional Commissioner (Appeals), Commissioner (Appeals), Appellate Authority for Advance Ruling, Tribunal and various courts against any quasi-judicial order or where proceeding for revision or rectification of any order is required to be undertaken, and is not applicable to any other proceedings under GST Laws.
- 7. Looking to the above, I find in the present case that the period of limitation of 90 days as per the Hon'ble Supreme Court's Order dated 10-1-2022 in suo-moto writ petition SWC (C) NO.3 of 2020 in MA No.665/2021 has also already been completed on 29.06.2022 (even if, considering one month condonation period) and hence, the present case would not be eligible for the relaxation / extension granted by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in respect of period(s) of limitation as mentioned above from the date on which the said decision or impugned order is communicated to such person/appellant. Accordingly, I find that the further proceedings in case of the present appeal can be taken up for consideration strictly as per the provisions contained in the CGST



- 8. It is also observed that the appellant has not submitted any cogent ground for such inordinate delay of more than one year period in filing the present appeal. I find that this appellate authority is a creature of the statute and has to act as per the provisions contained in the CGST Act. This appellate authority, therefore, cannot condone the delay beyond the period permissible under the CGST Act. When legislature has intended the appellate authority to entertain the appeal by condoning further delay of only one month, this appellate authority cannot go beyond the power vested by the legislature. My views are supported by the following case laws:
- (i) The *Hon'ble Supreme Court* in the case of *Singh Enterprises* reported at 2008 (221) E.L.T.163 (S.C.) has held as under:
  - "8. ...The proviso to sub-section (1) of Section 35 makes the position crystal clear that the appellate authority has no power to allow the appeal to be presented beyond the period of 30 days. The language used makes the position clear that the legislature intended the appellate authority to entertain the appeal by condoning delay only upto 30 days after the expiry of 60 days which is the normal period for preferring appeal. Therefore, there is complete exclusion of Section 5 of the Limitation Act. The Commissioner and the High Court were therefore justified in holding that there was no power to condone the delay after the expiry of 30 days period."
- (ii) In the case of *Makjai Laboratories Pvt Ltd* reported at 2011 (274) E.L.T. 48 (Bom.), the Hon'ble Bombay High Court held that the Commissioner (Appeals) cannot condone delay beyond further period of 30 days from initial period of 60 days and that provisions of Limitation Act, 1963 is not applicable in such cases as Commissioner (Appeals) is not a Court.
- (iii) The Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in the case of Delta Impex reported at 2004 (173) E.L.T. 449 (Del) held that the Appellate authority has no jurisdiction to extend limitation even in a "suitable" case for a further period of more than thirty days.
- 9. I find that the provisions of Section 107 of the Central Goods and Services Act, 2017 are *pari materia* with the provisions of Section 85 of the Finance Act, 1994 and Section 35 of the Central Excise Act, 1944 and hence, the above judgments would be squarely applicable to the present appeal also.
- 10. The appellant made an additional submission dated 23.02.2023 wherein they made reliance upon the judgements passed by the High Court of Kerala in the case of K L Johar and Company Vs the Commissioner of State GST, Ernakulam [WP (C)

-6-

- 11. By respectfully following the above judgments, I hold that this appellate authority cannot condone delay beyond further period of one month as prescribed under Section 107 of the CGST Act, 2017 as well as appeal is filed beyond the extension of time limit provided by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide order dated 10.01.2022. Thus, the appeal filed by the appellant is required to be dismissed on the grounds of limitation as not filed within the prescribed time limit in terms of the provisions of Section 107 of the CGST Act, 2017. I do not find any reason to interfere with the decision taken by the adjudicating authority vide the impugned order. I, accordingly, reject the present appeal filed by the appellant on time limitation factor.
- 12. अपीलकर्ता द्वारा दर्ज की गई अपील का निपटारा उपरोक्त तरीके से किया जाता है।

The appeals filed by the appellant stands disposed of in above terms.

Mīhir Rayka)
Additional Commissioner (Appeals)
Date: 02.03.2023

Attested

(Tejas J Mistry)

Superintendent (Appeals) Central Tax, Ahmedabad.

### By R.P.A.D.

To, M/s Shivam Exports, Dev Nandan Park, D-202, Nr. Rajvini Bunglows, Nikol, Ahmedabad, Gujarat-382350.

#### Copy to:

- 1. The Principal Chief Commissioner of Central Tax, Ahmedabad Zone.
- 2. The Commissioner [Appeals], CGST & C.Ex., Ahmedabad.
- 3. The Commissioner, CGST & C.Ex., Ahmedabad-North.
- 4. The Additional Commissioner, Central Tax (Systems), Ahmedabad -North.
- 5. The Assistant Commissioner, CGST & C. Ex., Division-II, Ahmedabad- North.
- 6. The Superintendent, CGST & C. Ex., Range-IV, Division-II, Ahmedabad North. Guard File.
- 8. P. A. File.